

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,476	01/18/2002	Pascual Royo Gracia	B-4464 619461-1	7914
75	90 08/13/2003			
LADAS & PARRY Suite 2100 5670 Wilshire Boulevard			EXAMINER	
			NAZARIO GONZALEZ, PORFIRIO	
Los Angeles, CA 90036-5679			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	a
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/052,476	GRACIA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Porfirio Nazario-Gonzalez	1621			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 J	lune 2003 .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3)□						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
, _	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8,11 and 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	on Papers	_				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
S. Patent and To	ademark Office	* • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/052,476

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-7, 9 and 10, in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "US patent law does not require the Examiner to enter non-unity objections and therefore the Examiner is requested to reconsider the non-unity objection in view of the fact that the claims, while distinctive, are sufficiently related that they would not be an undue burden upon the Examiner to examine all three sets of claims in a single application." This is not found persuasive because "the three sets of claims" are also independent inventions. Applicants have not contested the Examiner's reasons for restriction set forth in Paper No. 7. Therefore, as required by 37 CFR 1.141 two or more "independent and distinct inventions" may not be claimed in one application.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 8, 11 and 12 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 8.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,476

Art Unit: 1621

- 5. Claims 1-7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as", "preferably" or "most preferably" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, for example, claim 1 recites the broad recitation "transition metal of groups 3, 4-10, lanthanide or actinide", and the claim also recites "titanium, zirconium or hafnium" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The word "isolobal" is not clear what it means. Applicants have not defined said word and it does not appear in Webster's Dictionary or Hawley's Condensed Chemical Dictionary.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/052,476

Art Unit: 1621

8. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim is in plural form, which is an improper patent practice. Claims must be in singular form.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Porfirio Nazario-Gonzalez whose telephone number is 703-308-4632. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. (7:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann R. Richter can be reached on 703-308-4532. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235. //

orfirio Nazario-Gonzal Fimary Examiner

Art Unit 1621

PNG July 30, 2003